





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,823	04/26/2005	Bardo Schmitt	267271US0PCT	8884	
22850 7590 06/26/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BERNSHTEYN, MICHAEL		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/26/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/532,823	SCHMITT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Bernshteyn	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		· .				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u>—</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-18, drawn to a mixture for preparing transparent plastics.

Group II, claim(s) 19-24, drawn to a transparent plastic.

Group III, claim(s) 25, drawn to a method of producing an optical lens.

Group IV, claim(s) 26 and 27, drawn to an optical lens and an ophthalmic lens.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the inventions of Groups II-IV are considered to be at least obvious over the prior art, e.g. U. S. Patent 7,144,954, which discloses the polymerizable compositions comprising: (a) a mixture of thio(meth)acrylate functional monomers comprising, (a)(i) a first thio(meth)acrylate functional monomer, e.g., bis(thiomethacrylate)-1,2-ethylene, and (a)(ii) a second thio(meth)acrylate functional monomer, which is chain extended; (b) an aromatic monomer having at least two vinyl groups, e.g., divinyl benzene; (c) a polythiol monomer having at least two thiol groups, e.g., pentaerythritol tetrakis(3mercaptopropionate); and (d) a comonomer selected from, (d)(i) an anhydride monomer having at least one ethylenically unsaturated group, e.g., methacrylic anhydride; (d) (ii) a monomer having at least three (meth)acryloyl groups, e.g., pentaerythritol tetrakis(acrylate); and (d)(iii) mixtures of monomers (d)(i) and (d)(ii) (abstract). Accordingly, the instantly claimed mixture of compounds (Group I) does not make a contribution over the prior art, unity of invention is lacking and the restriction is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,823 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

```
R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> for each of the formulas (I) and (II);
R, R<sup>9</sup> and Y;
R<sup>13</sup>, R<sup>14</sup>, R<sup>15</sup> for each of the formulas (XI) and (XII).
```

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

```
Claim 1, species R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> for each of the formulas (I) and (II);
Claim 11, species R, R<sup>9</sup> and Y;
Claim 12, species R<sup>13</sup>, R<sup>14</sup>, R<sup>15</sup> for each of the formulas (XI) and (XII)
```

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 19.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the instant claims are replete with

Application/Control Number: 10/532,823

Art Unit: 1713

variable groups reciting "substituted" therewith without specifying what the substituents are to be. It would require undue experimentation to determine all of the substituents, which would function according to the instant invention since there are of such substituents and not all of them would function according to the instant specification.

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Surinder Sachar on June 15, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

4. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/532,823 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Bernshteyn whose telephone number is 571-

272-2411. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Bernshteyn Patent Examiner Art Unit 1713

MB 06/19/2007

> DAVID W. WU MONISORY PATENT EXAMINER MOLOGY CENTER 1700